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## Magnetic Resonance Electrical Impedance Tomography (MREIT) ${ }^{1}$

${ }^{1}$ Based on Seo, Woo, et al. since 2003
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## Motivation: Electrical Impedance Tomography (EIT)

- Setting: Imaging object $O \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ with electrode pairs attached
- Aim: reconstruct cross-sectional $\left(\Omega=O \cap\left\{z=z_{0}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ image of electrical conductivity inside $\Omega$


In EIT

- Data: Current-Voltage measurements on boundary
- Difficulty: highly ill-posed
$\rightsquigarrow$ low spatial resolution

Aim: achieve higher resolution of conductivity $\sigma$.


- Object $\Omega$ with $E_{1}^{ \pm}, E_{2}^{ \pm}$attached $\rightsquigarrow$ placed inside MRI-Scanner
- Apply current / between electrode pair
- Generates magnetic flux density $B$
- $B_{z}$ measurable with MRI scanner (full internal data set)


## Forward problem (shunt model)

$$
\mathcal{P}=\left\{\sigma \in C^{1, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \mid \sigma(x)>0, x \in \bar{\Omega}\right\}, \alpha \in(0,1)
$$

Detailed problem $(j \in\{1,2\}$ determines active electrode pair)
For $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}$, find $u_{j}^{\sigma}$, the detailed solution of

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla \cdot\left(\sigma \nabla u_{j}\right)=0 \text { in } \Omega, \quad I_{j}=\int_{E_{j}^{+}} \sigma \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} d s=-\int_{E_{j}^{-}} \sigma \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} d s  \tag{1a}\\
& \nabla u_{j} \times \mathbf{n}=0, \text { on } E_{j}^{+} \cup E_{j}^{-}, \quad \sigma \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial \mathbf{n}}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega \backslash \overline{\left(E_{j}^{+} \cup E_{j}^{-}\right)} \tag{1b}
\end{align*}
$$

- Unique solution of (1) up to an additive constant

Aim: Determine $\sigma^{\star}$ from $B_{z, \star}^{1}, B_{z, \star}^{2}$.
Assume: $\sigma^{\star} \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\left.\sigma^{\star}\right|_{\Omega \backslash \tilde{\Omega}}=\sigma_{b}, \sigma_{b}>0, \tilde{\Omega} \subset \subset \Omega, \sigma_{b}$ known.

- Maxwell Equations

$$
-\sigma \nabla u_{j}^{\sigma}=\frac{1}{\mu_{0}} \nabla \times B^{j} \text { (Ampère's law) and } \quad \nabla \cdot B^{j}=0
$$

- $\nabla \times$ of Ampère's law $\rightsquigarrow \nabla u_{j}^{\sigma} \times \nabla \sigma=\frac{1}{\mu_{0}} \nabla^{2} B^{j}$
$\rightsquigarrow$ Relation for $\sigma^{\star}$ (logarithmic version, point-wise in $\Omega$ )

$$
\nabla \ln \sigma^{\star}=\frac{1}{\mu_{0}}\left(\sigma^{\star} \mathbb{A}\left[\sigma^{\star}\right]\right)^{-1}\binom{\nabla^{2} B_{2, \star}^{1}}{\nabla^{2} B_{z, \star}^{2}}, \mathbb{A}\left[\sigma^{\star}\right]:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\partial u_{1}^{\sigma^{\star}}}{\partial y^{\star}} & -\frac{\partial u_{1}^{\alpha^{\star}}}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial u_{2}^{\star}}{\partial y} & -\frac{\partial u_{2}^{\alpha^{\star}}}{\partial x}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Initial guess: $\sigma^{0}=\sigma_{b}$.

## Iteration sequence ( $\rightsquigarrow$ Harmonic $B_{z}$ Algorithm ${ }^{2}$ )

- calculate $\mathcal{V}^{n+1}(\mathbf{r})=\frac{1}{\mu_{0}}\left(\sigma^{n} \mathbb{A}\left[\sigma^{n}\right]\right)^{-1}\binom{\nabla^{2} B_{z, \star}^{1}}{\nabla^{2} B_{z, \star}^{2}}(\mathbf{r}), \forall \mathbf{r} \in \Omega$
- define $\ln \sigma^{n+1}$ as the solution of

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\nabla^{2} \ln \sigma^{n+1}=\nabla \cdot \mathcal{V}^{n+1} \quad \text { in } \Omega, \quad \ln \sigma^{n+1}=\ln \sigma^{\star} \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \\
& \text { (ensures positivity) }
\end{array}
$$

${ }^{2}$ Seo, Woo 2003, et al.
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Given: for $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}$ let $u_{j, N}^{\sigma}$ be an (unspecified) approximation to $u_{j}^{\sigma}$.

## Approximative iteration sequence

- calculate $\mathcal{V}_{N}^{n+1}(\mathbf{r})=\frac{1}{\mu_{0}}\left(\sigma^{n} \mathbb{A}_{N}\left[\sigma^{n}\right]\right)^{-1}\binom{\nabla^{2} B_{z, \star}^{1}}{\nabla^{2} B_{z, \star}^{2}}(\mathbf{r}), \forall \mathbf{r} \in \Omega$,

$$
\text { with } \mathbb{A}_{N}\left[\sigma^{n}\right]:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial u_{1, N}^{\sigma^{n}}}{\partial y_{n}} & -\frac{\partial u_{1, N}^{\sigma^{n}}}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial u_{2, N}^{\sigma}}{\partial y} & -\frac{\partial u_{2, N}^{\sigma}}{\partial x}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- define $\ln \sigma^{n+1}$ as the solution of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \nabla^{2} \ln \sigma^{n+1}=\nabla \cdot \mathcal{V}_{N}^{n+1} \quad \text { in } \Omega, \quad \ln \sigma^{n+1}=\ln \sigma^{\star} \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

## Preliminary result ${ }^{3}$

There exists an $\epsilon>0$, such that if $\left\|\nabla \ln \sigma^{\star}\right\|_{C^{0, \alpha}(\Omega)}<\epsilon$ and the approximations $u_{j, N}^{\sigma^{n}}$ fulfill

- $u_{j, N}^{\sigma^{n}} \in C^{1, \alpha}(\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}), \quad \tilde{\Omega} \subset \subset \tilde{\tilde{\Omega}} \subset \subset \Omega$
- $\left\|\nabla u_{j, N}^{\sigma^{n}}-\nabla u_{j}^{\sigma^{n}}\right\|_{C^{0, \alpha}(\tilde{\Omega})} \leq C_{1} \epsilon^{n+1}$
throughout the approximative iteration, the resulting sequence of iterates $\sigma^{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$, with initial guess $\sigma^{0}=\sigma_{b}$ satisfies

$$
\left\|\ln \sigma^{n}-\ln \sigma^{\star}\right\|_{C^{1, \alpha}(\tilde{\Omega})} \leq C_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n} \epsilon, \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

${ }^{3}$ Extension of Seo, Woo, Liu, 2010. Preprint available soon.

## Reduced Basis Methods (RBM) and MREIT
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## Reduced Basis Methods (RBM): Idea



- Solution manifold $\mathcal{M}:=\left\{u^{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{P}\right\}$
- Construction of $X_{N}$ via carefully chosen snapshots $u^{\sigma_{i}}$
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## Detailed problem (shunt model)

$F: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow X, \sigma \mapsto u^{\sigma}$, the detailed solution of

$$
b\left(u^{\sigma}, v ; \sigma\right)=f(v) \text { for all } v \in X \quad b, f \text { associated to (1). }
$$

Assume: $X_{N}:=\operatorname{span}\left\{u^{\sigma_{1}}, \ldots, u^{\sigma_{N}}\right\} \subset X$ is given.

## Reduced problem (Galerkin projection)

For $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}$, find $u_{N}^{\sigma} \in X_{N} \subset X$, the reduced solution of

$$
b\left(u_{N}^{\sigma}, v ; \sigma\right)=f(v), \quad \forall v \in X_{N}
$$

(one detailed/reduced problem/RB-space per $j=1,2$ )
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- Reproduction of solutions: $u^{\sigma} \in X_{N} \Rightarrow u_{N}^{\sigma}=u^{\sigma}$
- Offline/Online-decomposition: rapid computation of $u_{N}^{\sigma}$


## Certification - rigorous a-posteriori error estimator

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u^{\sigma}-u_{N}^{\sigma}\right\|_{x} \leq \Delta_{N}(\sigma):=\frac{\left\|v_{r}\right\| x}{\alpha(\sigma)}, \text { with } \\
& \left\langle v_{r}, v\right\rangle_{x}:=r(v ; \sigma):=f(v)-b\left(u_{N}^{\sigma}, v ; \sigma\right), \forall v \in X
\end{aligned}
$$

## Naive/direct approach

- Construct global $X_{N}$ (greedy, POD,...) approximating whole $\mathcal{M}$
- Use $u_{N}^{\sigma}$ instead of $u^{\sigma}$ in the inversion scheme

Limitation: Only feasible for low-dimensional parameter spaces, not feasible for imaging.

> Our approach ${ }^{4}$ : Create problem-adapted RB-space by iterative enrichment (inspired by Druskin \& Zaslavski 2007, Zahr \& Fahrhat 2015 and Lass 2014).

${ }^{4}$ G./Haasdonk/Harrach, A Reduced Basis Landweber method for nonlinear inverse problems, 2016 Inverse Problems 32 (3) (doi).
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## Adaptive RBM \& IP: Idea
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## Adaptive RBM \& IP: Idea



Recall: approximative approach for MREIT

Given: RB-spaces $X_{N, 1}, X_{N, 2} \rightsquigarrow u_{j, N}^{\sigma}$ is respective RB-approximation.

## RB iteration sequence

- calculate $\mathcal{V}_{N}^{n+1}(\mathbf{r})=\frac{1}{\mu_{0}}\left(\sigma^{n} \mathbb{A}_{N}\left[\sigma^{n}\right]\right)^{-1}\binom{\nabla^{2} B_{z, \star}^{1}}{\nabla^{2} B_{z, \star}^{2}}(\mathbf{r}), \forall \mathbf{r} \in \Omega$,

$$
\text { with } \mathbb{A}_{N}\left[\sigma^{n}\right]:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial u_{1, N}^{\sigma^{n}}}{\partial y_{n}} & -\frac{\partial u_{1, N}^{\sigma^{n}}}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial u_{2, N}^{\sigma}}{\partial y} & -\frac{\partial u_{2, N}^{\sigma}}{\partial x}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- define $\ln \sigma^{n+1}$ as the solution of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \nabla^{2} \ln \sigma^{n+1}=\nabla \cdot \mathcal{V}_{N}^{n+1} \quad \text { in } \Omega, \quad \ln \sigma^{n+1}=\ln \sigma^{\star} \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

## Algorithm $1 \operatorname{RBZ}\left(\sigma_{b}, \mu_{0}, \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, X_{N, 1}, X_{N, 2}\right)$

1: $\sigma^{0}:=\sigma_{b}, n:=0$
2: repeat
3: $\quad$ Enrich spaces $X_{N, 1}, X_{N, 2}$ using $u_{1}^{\sigma^{n}}, u_{2}^{\sigma^{n}}$.
4: repeat
5: $\quad \mathcal{V}_{N}^{n+1}(\mathbf{r}):=\frac{1}{\mu_{0}}\left(\sigma^{n} \mathbb{A}_{N}\left[\sigma^{n}\right]\right)^{-1}\binom{\nabla^{2} B_{z, \star}^{1}}{\nabla^{2} B_{z, \star}^{2}}(\mathbf{r}), \forall \mathbf{r} \in \Omega$
6: $\quad$ Calculate $\ln \sigma^{n+1}$ as the solution of

$$
\nabla^{2} \ln \sigma^{n+1}=\nabla \cdot \mathcal{V}_{N}^{n+1} \text { in } \Omega, \quad \ln \sigma^{n+1}=\ln \sigma^{\star} \text { on } \partial \Omega
$$

7: $\quad \sigma^{n+1}:=\exp \left(\ln \sigma^{n+1}\right) \quad n:=n+1$
8: until $\left\|\ln \sigma^{n}-\ln \sigma^{n-1}\right\|_{C(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon_{1}$ or $\min _{j=1,2}\left\{\Delta_{N, j}\left(\sigma^{n}\right)\right\}>\varepsilon_{2}$
9: until $\left\|\ln \sigma^{n}-\ln \sigma^{n-1}\right\|_{C(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon_{1}$
10: return $\sigma_{R B Z}:=\sigma^{n}$

## Numerics (idealized)

Setting: $260 \times 260$ image, $\varepsilon_{1}=10^{-6}, \varepsilon_{2}=10^{-3}$, no noise.


Figure: $\sigma_{b}$ (top left), $\sigma^{\star}$ (top right), $\sigma_{B Z}$ (bottom left), $\sigma_{R B Z}$ (bottom right).

- BZ: 10.10s and 28 PDE solves | RBZ: 8.18 s and 8 PDE solves
$-\frac{\left\|\sigma^{\star}-\sigma_{B Z}\right\|_{C(\Omega)}}{\left\|\sigma_{B Z}\right\|_{C(\Omega)}} \approx 2 \cdot 10^{-3}, \frac{\left\|\sigma_{R B Z}-\sigma_{B Z}\right\|_{C(\Omega)}}{\left\|\sigma_{B Z}\right\|_{C(\Omega)}} \approx 4 \cdot 10^{-4}$
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## Conclusion

## Summary

- Inverse problem of MREIT \& Harmonic $B_{z}$ Algorithm as solution algorithm
- Any (sufficient) approximative forward solution $\rightsquigarrow$ convergence
- Reduced Basis Method (adaptive approach - high-dimensional parameter space) to speed-up existing algorithm
- Novel RBZ-Algorithm, including (idealized) numerics


## Future work

- Finalize and publish results


## Thank you for your attention!

