

24. Afrikanist\*innentag  
Panel 3

**The ‘African type(s)’ of pronominal honorification**

Organiser:  
Lee Pratchett (Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin)

Honorification encompasses various strategies that encode paradigmatically social deixis distinctions between speakers and other referents (e.g. Brown & Levinson 1987: 198-204). The manipulation of pronominal forms for such ends is cross-linguistically widespread. Yet, consider the following summary of the distribution of second-person pronominal honorification systems in a recent volume on (im)politeness in language: “Apart from Europe such distinctions exist in South-east Asia, India and perhaps Central America but they are not attested in North and South America, North Asia, Australia or Papua New Guinea” (Jucker & Kopczyk 2017: 439). The omission of the world’s second largest populated continent, home to a third of the planet’s languages, is all the more unfortunate in light of how Africa was described half a century ago: “the African honorific pronoun systems differ from many systems found in other parts of the world” (Gregersen 1974: 51). The primary aim of this panel is to fill the very literal gap in the appraisal of pronominal honorification by inviting descriptions that illustrate this phenomenon in African languages.

That Europe is recurrently described as a “hotbed” for languages with a politeness distinction in the pronominal system (Helmbrecht 2013; Jucker & Kopczyk 2017: 439) has much to do with a historical research bias towards these languages, both in the sampling methodology and by focussing on the type of pronominal honorification commonly attested in them (see Helmbrecht 2002). The one type common to European languages is *addressee* honorification and typically involves the extension of the second-person plural pronoun to a singular addressee in particular social contexts. It has been dubbed the *tu/vous* or even ‘European type’. Yet, it is well attested in African languages, including Amharic (Leslau 1995: 46f), Kambaata (Treis 2008: 330ff), Makhuwa (Maughim 1898: 7-8), and Makwe (Devos 2008: 163), to name but a few. An example from Gbaya is given in (1).

- (1) Gbaya ‘bodoé (Ubangi, Niger-Congo; adapted from Roulon-Doko 1997: 87)
- ʔá mɛ́ tɛ́-, ʔɛ̀ɛ́ nɛ́ ... mɛ́ tɛ́- ðùk nù sààyé ʔɛ̀nɛ́mɛ́ ná  
INTJ 2SG come 1PL go 2SG come stay ground village alone NEG  
(Mother to daughter) “Ah [tu] viens, allons-y ... tu ne resteras pas seule au village.”
- wòóyèé ! nàà, ʔɛ̀nɛ́ nɛ́  
no mother 2PL.HON go  
(Daughter to mother) “Non, maman, allez-y.”

A typology of the form and function of repertoires and styles necessitates a thorough appraisal of the African type(s) of pronominal honorification strategies. Common to all of the languages listed above is the second type of pronominal honorification, namely *referent* honorification. In Gbaya, this involves incorporating the system of logophoric plural pronouns (Roulon-Doko 1997: 88). In many Bantu languages, referent honorification involves manipulating the agreement pattern typically triggered by a noun. In Bantu gender systems, most human nouns belong to the so-called human gender and as such trigger Class 1 (singular) and Class 2 (plural) agreement patterns, realised as prefixes that are marked on a range of targets. In some Bantu languages, speakers paradigmatically encode politeness levels by

using the Class 2 agreement pattern when talking about an individual, as exemplified by Fwe in (2) and (3) (also e.g. Irvine 1998 54-55 for Bemba; see also Storch & Dimmendaal 2014: 17-21). This strategy is also part of expressions using the subjunctive mood to make imperatives more polite, as in (4) for Haya (see Devos & van Olmen 2013).

- (2) Fwe (Bantu K40, Niger-Congo; Gunnink 2018: 117)

*ba-zyib-ehere*

CL2-know-NEUT.STAT

‘S/he is well-known.’ (person older than ego)

- (3) Fwe (Bantu K40, Niger-Congo; Gunnink 2018: 117)

*haiba ba-mu-kéntu u-angu ba-kewsi n-nyazi mbo-ndi-ba-kan-e*

when CL2-CL1-wife CL1-POSS1 CL2-have CL9-lover NFUT-1SG-CL2-refuse-PFV.SBJV

‘If my wife has a lover, I will divorce her.’

- (4) Haya (Bantu J20, Niger-Congo; Lehman 1977: 147, cited in Devos & van Olmen 2013)

*ba-m-p-é o-mw-óono*

CL2-1SG-give-SBJV AUG-CL3-salt

‘Give me salt!’ (polite)

A different kind of pronominal honorification is found in Akan: “personal pronouns systematically undergo lexical tone changes in the environment of the different subclasses of kinship noun” (Boadi 2000: 121). This can be seen in possessive constructions with pronominal modifiers, as in (5).

- (5) Akan (Kwa, Niger-Congo; Boadi 2000: 121)

a.	<i>mé wɔ̄fa</i>	‘my uncle’	b.	<i>mè bá</i>	‘my child’
	<i>mé ni</i>	‘my mother’		<i>mèwɔ̄faasé</i>	‘my niece/nephew’
	<i>mé kùne</i>	‘my husband’		<i>nè yére</i>	‘his wife’

Internal and external language dynamics are important dimensions in the extant literature on pronominal honorification. Concerning African languages, it has been suggested – albeit tentatively – that addressee honorification in African languages might be due to contact with certain European languages like French which spread as a result of colonialism (Helmbrecht 2003: 197). Whilst I side with Gregersen (1974: 54; also Aikhenvald 2010: 219) that the “the notion of plurality is vivid enough [...] in conveying the notion of social distance to permit multiple convergence in many parts of the world”, the question of contact is worthy of further investigation. In the eastern Kalahari, contact has resulted in the replication of the ‘Bantu type’ system in some Kalahari Khoe languages (Khoe-Kwadi). This is illustrated in (6) where honorific concord is made using the 3rd-person common gender plural person-gender-number suffix *-re* in a the same way agreement class 2 is used in Bantu languages across southern Africa (Pratchett 2020: 46-49; see also Treis 2008 for the influence of Amharic on Kambaata).

- (6) Tshwa (Kalahari Khoe, Khoe-Kwadi: Pratchett 2020: 47)

*Ranamane-re tana //xau Radinoko-m djoro oa xa-re na-ba |hoo*

PN-PL.HON then chase PN-M.SG.GEN back LOC DEM-PL.HON DEM-M.SG.ACC run

‘Ranamane<sub>j</sub> then chased after Radinoko<sub>k</sub> and he<sub>j</sub> ran after him<sub>k</sub> [...].’

Almost half a century after Gregersen's (1974) call for a holistic description of honorification in African languages, this panel serves as an opportunity to assert the contribution of African languages to the typology of pronominal honorification. Contributions on the themes illustrated above or related to any of the following topics are welcome (with the option to produce a joint volume if there is enough interest):

- pronominal honorification in individual languages, incl. corpus-based studies
- diachrony of honorific pronouns in African languages
- variation in pronominal honorification strategies within languages/language areas
- pronominal honorification systems arising due to contact (with African/non-African languages)
- acquisition of pronominal honorification systems
- descriptions of how such systems they used by speakers/social dynamics
- other novel descriptions of strategies for encoding social deixis in African languages will also be considered

Contact: Lee Pratchett ([lee.pratchett@hu-berlin.de](mailto:lee.pratchett@hu-berlin.de))

#### Abbreviations

ACC	Accusative	NFUT	Near future
AUG	Augment	PFV	Perfective
CL	Agreement class	PL	Plural
DEM	Demonstrative	PN	Proper noun
GEN	Genitive	POSS	Possessive
HON	Honorific	SBJV	Subjunctive
LOC	Locative	SG	Singular
M	Masculine	STAT	Stative
NEUT	Neuter		

#### References

- Aikhenvald, Alexandra Y. 2010. *Imperatives and commands*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Baumbach, Erdmann J. M. 1987. *Analytical Tsonga grammar*. Pretoria: University of South Africa.
- Boadi, Lawrence A. 2000. Pronominal status, kinship and tone in Akan. In Voßen, Rainer, Angelika Mietzner and Antje Meißner (eds.) *Mehr als nur Wrote: Afrikanistische Beiträge zum 65. Geburtstag von Franz Rottland*. Cologne: Rüdiger Köppe.
- Brown, Penelope & Levinson, Stephen C. 1987. *Politeness: some universals in language usage*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Devos, Maud & Daniël Van Olmen. 2013. Describing and explaining variation of Bantu imperatives and prohibitives, *Studies in Language* 37(1): 1-57.
- Devos, Maud. 2008. *A grammar of Makwe*. Munich: Lincom Europa.
- Gregersen, Edgar A. 1974. The signalling of social distance in African languages. In Gage, William (ed.) *Language in its social setting*, 47-55. Washington: The Anthropological Society of Washington.
- Gunnink, Hilde. 2018. *A grammar of Few: a Bantu language of Zambia and Namibia*. PhD dissertation. Ghent: Ghent University.
- Helmbrecht, Johannes. 2013. Politeness Distinctions in Pronouns. In Dryer, Matthew S. & Haspelmath, Martin (eds.) *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology. (Available online at <http://wals.info/chapter/45>)

- Helmbrecht, Johannes. 2003. Politeness distinctions in second person pronouns. In Lenz, Friedrich (ed.), *Deictic Conceptualization of Space, Time and Person*, 185-202. Amsterdam; Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Helmbrecht, Johannes. 2002. *Personal pronouns – form, function and use*. Habilitation. Erfurt: University of Erfurt.
- Irvine, Judith T. 1998. Ideologies of honorific language. In Woolard, Kathryn A. & Paul V. Kroskrity (eds.), *Language ideologies. Practice and theory*, 51-6. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Jucker, Andreas H & Joanna Kopaczyk. 2017. Historical (im)politeness. In Culpeper, Jonathan, Michael Haugh, Michael, Jonathan Culpeper & Dániel Z. Kadar (eds.) *The Palgrave handbook of linguistic (im)politeness*, 433-459. London: Springer.
- Lehman, Christina. 1977. Imperatives. In Byarushengo, Ernest Rugwa, Alessandro Duranti & Larry M. Hyman (eds.), *Haya grammatical structure*. Los Angeles: SCOPIL.
- Leslau, Wolf. 1995. *Reference grammar of Amharic*. Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz.
- Maugham, Reginald Charles Fulke. 1898. *A handbook of the Chi-Makua language*. Johannesburg: Adlington & Co.
- Pratchett, Lee J. 2020. Language contact and change in eastern Botswana: new insights from the pronominal system of an undocumented Kalahari Khoe language, *Language in Africa* 1(1): 34-64.
- Roulon-Doko, Paulette. 1997. *Parlons gbaya*. Paris: L'Harmattan.
- Storch, Anne & Gerrit J. Dimmendaal. 2014. One size fits all?. In Storch, Anne & Gerrit J. Dimmendaal (eds.), *Number – Constructions and Semantics. Case studies from Africa, Amazonia, India and Oceania*, 1-32. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Treis, Yvonne. 2008. *A grammar of Kambaata (Ethiopia)*. Cologne: Rüdiger Köppe.